

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FIL  | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,559                                     | 10/708,559 03/11/2004<br>41996 7590 02/08/2006 |          | PUN CHOON ONG        |                     | 2558             |
| 41996  |  |          |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| JAMES FREDERICK CHONG                          |  |          |                      | MILLER, BENA B      |                  |
| 15 MCCALLUM STREET #13-04<br>SINGAPORE, 069045 |  |          |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| SINGAPORE                                      |  |          |                      | 3725                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|--|---|---|
|  | 10/708,559  | ONG, PUN CHOON  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|  | Bena Miller   | 3725  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   | ppears on the cover sheet w   | vith the correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder for the provisions of the maximum statutory perioder for the provision of the maximum statutory perioder for the provision of the maximum statutory perioder for the provision of the maximum statutory perioder for the m | DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>1.136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>oute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |   |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ·   |   |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th   | nis action is non-final.  |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | ·   | •   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I   | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | rawn from consideration.  |   |
| Application Papers   |   |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet(s).  | ccepted or b) objected to<br>be drawing(s) be held in abeya<br>ection is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureats.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in A<br>fority documents have been<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                      | Application No  received in this National Stage   |
| Attachment(s)  | Ber   | a B NO  |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date   |
| <ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>   | 8) 5) ☐ Notice of I<br>6) ☐ Other:  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |

Application/Control Number: 10/708,559

Art Unit: 3725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Objections

A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind

Art Unit: 3725

that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear by the specification how the machine is used to retrieve the logs.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The claims are replete with indefiniteness that is too numerous to point out in every instance. The following examples are provided for the applicant use in making corrections wherever appropriate but not specifically pointed to.

Regarding claim 1, it is not clear what steps are encompassed in lines 4-9 of the claim. It is not how the features further limit the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,559 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Regarding claims 2-8, the scope of the claims is vague and indefinite because it is not clear if a method or machine is claimed. It appears that a method is claimed as the invention; however, claims 2-3, which is dependent on claim 1, recites a machine. For the purpose of this Office Action, the Examiner presumes the claims recite the apparatus—a machine.

It should be noted that in US Practices, parenthesis does not further limit a claim.

Regarding claim 4, it is not clear what is being lighter will not require a heavy counterweight.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The claims are so indefinite and vague for the reasons noted above that their scope cannot be determined with substantial certainty. The applicant is requested to review all of the claims and to make corrections thereto wherever appropriate but not specifically pointed to, keeping in mind the examiner's comments. Clarification of the scope of the claims is required in response to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, as best as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chai (US Patent 6,564,835).

The device of Chai teaches the elements of the claimed invention including an excavator body (fig. 5), a backhoe arm (30), a winch pulley (38), a boom (14) and a bucket (col. 8, par. 5).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the Notice of Reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,559

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

Page 6

bbm

February 04, 2006